

## **Michael Solem**

### **Preliminary remarks**

*How might location awareness and analytics enrich online learning across disciplines?*

I'd like to explore this question in the context of challenges facing geography/geospatial teacher education.

Researchers have identified many factors and conditions impeding the adoption of geospatial data and technology in secondary education. Kerski (2001), through a survey of over 1,500 teachers who had acquired GIS software, found that 45% were not using GIS in the classroom even though they owned the software. More recently, a survey of in-service teachers who received professional training in GIS found that 40% of them still did not teach with the technology, whereas others continued to experience difficulties using GIS to teach inquiry skills and methods of spatial analysis (Baker, Palmer, & Kerski, 2009). Although many of these teachers express genuine enthusiasm for geospatial teaching, their efforts are often undermined by insufficient access to spatial data, mentors and peer support (Charles & Kolvoord, 2003; Crechiolo, 1997; Donaldson, 2001; Kerski, 2000; Palladino, 1994; Storie, 2000).

Findings such as these strongly suggest that in-service teacher training, while unquestionably essential, is not enough to ensure that geospatial data and technology become widely adopted for instructional purposes in STEM classrooms. More focus is needed during the pre-service stage of teacher education and the first few years of classroom teaching, a formative time when early career teachers deepen and extend subject matter knowledge, refine their instructional repertoire, and develop technical and pedagogical skills with different forms of educational technology (Cattani 2002; Feiman-Nemser 2001). Based on what is known from the existing research literature, it appears that teachers trained with geospatial data and technology at the very beginning of their professional preparation are more likely to continue using these resources, materials and tools (RMTs) well into their professional teaching careers (Avard, 2009; Baker, Palmer, & Kerski, 2009; Barnett et al. 2010; Trautmann & MaKinster, 2010; Wilder, Brinkeroff, & Higgins, 2003).

Location awareness and analytics offer a promising means of customizing the delivery of online geography/geospatial teacher education to reflect state-by-state professional development and certification requirements. At either the middle school (grades 6-8) or high school level (grades 9-12), geography may be present as a strand within social studies standards or as a separate set of standards (sometimes paired with history), often linked to a course (Grosvenor Center for Geographic Education, 2012):

- Elementary grades (K-5): Geography mostly integrated with social studies disciplines.
- Middle School (grades 6-8): 18 states either require or make optional a geography or geography/history course. 11 states have no geography requirement, while individual districts in 22 states may require geography.

- High School (grades 9-12): 27 states either require or make optional a geography or geography/history course. 7 states have no geography requirement, while individual districts in 17 states may require geography.

Pre-service STEM teacher preparation is fundamentally out-of-sync with the interdisciplinary character of geospatial data and technology. All too often teachers fail to obtain adequate preparation in the technological, pedagogical, and disciplinary content knowledge areas that are foundational to effective teaching with geospatial data and technology in STEM (Hauselt & Helzer, 2012). Although pre-service teachers in math, science and geography may learn *about* geospatial data and technology in their undergraduate disciplinary courses, these courses do not address how to teach *with* geospatial data and technology (Alibrandi & Palmer-Moloney, 2001; Kerski, Demirci, & Milson 2013). Until this situation changes, geospatial data and technology will remain “boutique” RMTs used only by those teachers fortunate enough to receive comprehensive professional development in geography, geospatial technology, and related teaching methods.

Instead of “stove-piping” different aspects of geospatial teacher preparation across different disciplines, a better approach would be to provide interdisciplinary professional development that ensures maximum access to the best possible professional training available (indeed, the value of such an interdisciplinary approach is at the heart of *Geography for Life, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition* and the other STEM curriculum standards and frameworks). Fulfilling this vision will require coordinating the efforts of academic geographers, educational methods faculty, and geospatial technology educators to create online RMTs providing the right balance of preparation in technological, pedagogical, and disciplinary content knowledge areas. It also means ensuring these aspiring teachers have the flexibility to access this professional preparation and mentoring through a variety of online, customizable delivery systems as part of their pre-service methods courses.

## References

Alibrandi, M., & Palmer-Moloney, J. (2001). Making a place for technology in teacher education with Geographic Information Systems (GIS). *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 1*(4) 483-500.

Avard, M.M. (2009). Student-centered learning in an Earth science, pre-service teacher-education course. *Journal of College Science Teaching, 38*(6), 24-29.

Baker, T.R., Palmer, A., & Kerski, J. (2009). A national survey to examine teacher professional development and implementation of desktop GIS. *Journal of Geography, 108*, 174–185.

Barnett, M., Houle, M., Mark, S., Strauss, E. (2010). Learning about urban ecology through the use of visualization and geospatial technologies. *Journal of Technology and*

*Teacher Education* 18(2), 287-317.

Cattani, D.H. (2002). *A classroom of her own: How new teachers develop instructional, professional, and cultural competence*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Charles, M., & Kolvoord, B. (2003). Teacher's stages of development in using visualization tools for inquiry-based science. In C. Crawford, N. Davis, J. Price, R. Weber, & D.A. Willis (Eds.), *Proceedings of Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference 2003* (pp. 2994-2997). Chesapeake, VA: AACE. Retrieved from <http://www.editlib.org/p/18615>.

Crechiolo, A. (1997). *Teaching secondary school geography with the use of a geographical information system (GIS)*. Master's Thesis, Wilfrid, Laurier University.

Donaldson, D.P. (2001). With a little help from our friends: Implementing Geographic Information Systems (GIS) in K-12 schools. *Social Education* 65(3), 147-150.

Feiman-Nemser, S. (2001). From preparation to practice: Designing a continuum to strengthen and sustain teaching. *Teachers College Record*, 103(6), 1013-1055.

Grosvenor Center for Geographic Education (2012) High school and middle school geography requirements. San Marcos, TX: Grosvenor Center for Geographic Education.

Hauselt, P., & Helzer, J. (2012). Integration of geospatial science in teacher education. *Journal of Geography*, 111(5), 163-172.

Kerski, J.J. (2001). A national assessment of GIS in American high schools. *International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education*, 10(1), 72-84).

Kerski, J.J., Demirci, A., & Milson, A.J. (2013). The global landscape of GIS in secondary education. *Journal of Geography*, 112(6), 232-247.

Palladino, S.D. (1994). *A role for geographic information systems in the secondary schools: An assessment of the current status and future possibilities*. Master's Thesis. University of California, Santa Barbara.

Storie, C.D. (2000). *Assessing the role of geographical information systems (GIS) in the classroom*. Master's Thesis, Wilfrid, Laurier University.

Trautmann, N.M., & MaKinster, J.G. (2010). Flexibly adaptive professional development in support of teaching science with geospatial technology. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 21, 351-370.

Wilder, A., Brinkerhoff, J., & Higgins, T.M. (2003). *Geographic information technologies + project-based science: A contextualized professional development approach*. *Journal of Geography*, 102 (6), 255-266.